

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,460	02/13/2002	Tadashi Ogami	016778-0444	3882	
22428 7	590 09/28/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER			CHANG, E	CHANG, EDITH M	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2637		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/049,460	OGAMI, TADASHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edith M. Chang	2637			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2002.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2002 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected or b) ☒ objected or a second or b) ☒ objected or b) ☒ objected if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected or b) ☒ obje	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>20020510</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 2637

The abstract should be limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 2-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Claim 2, line 9: "the amount of" should be "an amount of".
- Claim 3, line 6: "the difference" should be "a difference"; line 9: "noise power" should be "a noise power".
- Claim 4, line 3: "an estimated noise power" should be "the estimated noise power"; line 6: "the average" should be "an average".
- Claim 5, line 8: "the amount of" should be "an amount of"; line 25: "the average" should be "an average".
 - Claim 6 is dependent on the objected claim 5.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claim 1, lines 7-8: "the approximate line" and "the approximate curve" lack antecedent bases.
 - Claim 2, lines 10 & 15: "said approximate line" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 2637

Claim 3, line 4: "the approximate line estimating process" lacks antecedent basis; line 7: "said approximate line" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 4, line 6: "the resultant values" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 5, lines 9-10 & line 14: "said approximate curve" lacks antecedent basis; line 19: "the approximate curve" lacks antecedent basis; lines 24-25: "the resultant values" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 6 is dependent on the rejected claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Shiraki et al. (JP 11-112417 A).

Regarding **claim 1**, In Drawing 1, Shiraki et al. discloses a base station comprising 1st predict section 51 (the *means*) for predicting (is *approximating*) the complex envelope (the amplitude) at a current point of received data from the section 31, based on the complex envelope estimate value (as the *line or curve*) provided by the estimate section 41; and outputting (as *measuring*) the predicted complex envelope to the following stage, the section 61 and a reception power calculation section 70 (Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,460 Page 5

Art Unit: 2637

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and overcome the objections set forth in this Office action.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest, alone or in a combination, among other things, at least a reception level measuring system as a whole, the combination of elements and features, which includes the approximate-line estimating means for linearly approximating signal amplitudes in I- and Q-channels in a time interval set in accordance with an estimated Doppler frequency provided by a Doppler-frequency estimating means; phase-rotation estimating means for estimating an amount of phase rotation based on a gradient of the approximate line used in the approximate-line estimating means for linearly approximating signal amplitudes.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edith M. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-3041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay K. Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edith Chang September 22, 2005

YOUNG T. TSE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6